arXiv:1304.7960v1 [math.PR] 30 Apr 2013

A STRICTLY STATIONARY β-MIXING PROCESS SATISFYING THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM BUT NOT THE WEAK INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE

DAVIDE GIRAUDO AND DALIBOR VOLNÝ

Abstract. In 1983, N. Herrndorf proved that for a ϕ-mixing sequence satisfying the central limit theorem and $\phi(1) < 1$, the weak invariance principle takes place. The question whether for strictly stationary sequences with finite second moments and a weaker type (α, β, ρ) of mixing the central limit theorem implies the weak invariance principle remained open.

We construct a strictly stationary β-mixing sequence with finite second moments for which the central limit theorem takes place but not the weak invariance principle.

1. Introduction and notations

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a probability space. If $T\colon \Omega \to \Omega$ is one-to-one, bi-measurable and measure preserving (in sense that $\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$), then the sequence $\left(f \circ T^k\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly stationary for any measurable $f\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, each strictly stationary sequence can be represented in this way.

For a zero mean square integrable $f\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, we define $S_n(f) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^j$ and $S_n^*(f,t) := S_{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(f) + (nt - \lfloor nt\rfloor) f \circ T^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}$, where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the greatest integer which is smaller than x. We endow $C[0,1]$, the space of continuous functions on the unit interval, with the norm $\|g\|_\infty := \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |g(t)|$.

Let $D[0,1]$ be the space of real valued functions with have a left limit and are continuous at each point of $[0,1]$. We endow it with Skorohod metric. We define $S_n^{**}(f,t) := \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt\rfloor -1} f \circ T^j$, which gives a random element of $D[0,1]$.

We shall say that the strictly stationary sequence $\left(f \circ T^j\right)_{j\geqslant 0}$ *satisfies the weak invariance principle in* $C[0,1]$ (respectively *in* $D[0,1]$) if the sequence of $C[0,1]$ (of $D[0,1]$) valued random variables $\left((\sigma n^{1/2})^{-1} S_n^*(f,\cdot)\right)_{n\geqslant 1}$ (resp. $\left((\sigma n^{1/2})^{-1} S_n^{**}(f,\cdot)\right)_{n\geqslant 1}$) weakly converges to a Brownian motion process, where $\sigma^2 = \lim_{n\to+\infty} E(n^{-1}S_n^2)$, in the corresponding space.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two sub-σ-algebras of $\mathcal{F}$, where $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space. We define the α-mixing coefficients as introduced by Rosenblatt in [Ros56]:

$$\alpha(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) := \sup\left\{\left|\mu(A\cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)\right|, A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\right\}, \tag{1}$$

Date: May 1, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05; 60F17.

Key words and phrases. Central limit theorem, invariance principle, mixing conditions, strictly stationary process.

β-mixing coefficients by

$$\beta(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) := \frac{1}{2}\sup\sum_{i=1}^{I}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|\mu(A_i\cap B_j)-\mu(A_i)\mu(B_j)\right|, \tag{2}$$

where the supremum is taken over the finite partitions $\{A_i, 1\leqslant i\leqslant I\}$ and $\{B_j, 1\leqslant j\leqslant J\}$ of Ω of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ (respectively $\mathcal{B}$). They were introduced by Volkonskii and Rosanov [VR59].

The ρ-mixing coefficients were introduced by Kolmogorov and Rozanov [KR60] and are defined by

$$\rho(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) := \sup\left\{\left|\operatorname{Corr}(f,g)\right|, f\in L^2(\mathcal{A}), g\in L^2(\mathcal{B}), f\neq 0, g\neq 0\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where $\operatorname{Corr}(f,g) := \frac{E(fg)-E(f)E(g)}{\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}}$.

Ibragimov [Ibr59] introduced for the first time ϕ-mixing coefficients , which are given by the formula

$$\phi(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) := \sup\left\{\left|\mu(B\mid A)-\mu(B)\right|, A\in\mathcal{A}, B\in\mathcal{B}, \mu(A)>0\right\}. \tag{4}$$

The coefficients are related by the inequalities

$$\alpha(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\leqslant 2\beta(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\leqslant 2\phi(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}),\quad \alpha(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\leqslant\rho(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\leqslant\phi(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}). \tag{5}$$

For a strictly stationary sequence $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $n\geq 0$ we define $\alpha_X(n)=\alpha(n)=\alpha(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^{0},\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\infty})$ where $\alpha(\mathcal{F}_u^v$ is the σ-algebra generated by X_k with $u\leqslant k\leqslant v$ (if $u=-\infty$ or $v=\infty$, the corresponding inequality is strict). In the same way we define coefficients $\beta_X(n)$, $\rho_X(n)$, $\phi_X(n)$.

We say that the sequence $(X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is *α-mixing* if $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty}\alpha_X(n)=0$, and similarily we define β, ρ and ϕ-mixing sequences. Inequalities (5) give a hierarchy between theses classes of mixing sequences.

The main result is

Theorem 1. *Let $(c_n)_n$ be a sequence of (strictly) positive numbers. There exists a strictly stationary real valued process $X=(X_k)_{k\geqslant 0}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *for X the central limit theorem takes place;*
2. *for X, the weak invariance principle does not hold;*
3. *$X_0\in\mathbb{L}^p$ for any $p>0$;*
4. *there exists an increasing sequence $(n_k)_k$ such that, $\beta_X(n_k)\leqslant c_{n_k}$ for each k.*

We can assume without loss of generality that the sequence $(c_k)_k$ is decreasing and converges to 0.

Rio proved that the condition $\int_0^1\alpha^{-1}(u)Q^2(u)du<\infty$ implies the weak invariance principle [Rio00], where $\alpha^{-1}(u) := \inf\{k,\alpha(k)\leqslant u\}$ and Q is the cadlag inverse of the quantile function $t\mapsto\mu\{X_0>t\}$. If the process is strictly stationary, with finite moments of order $2+r$, $r>0$, the latter condition is satisfied whenever $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)^{\frac{2}{r}}\alpha(n)<\infty$ (Ibragimov [Ibr62] found the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha(n)^{1-\frac{2}{r}}<\infty$). In (4) we can thus hardly get a better rate of mixing.

Ibragimov proved that for a strictly stationary ρ-mixing sequence with finite moments of order $2+\delta$ for some positive δ, the weak invariance principle holds, cf. [Ibr75]. In particular, this proves that our construction does not give a ρ-mixing process. Shao also showed in [Sha89] that the condition $\sum_n \rho(2^n) < \infty$ is sufficient in order to guarantize the weak invariance principle in $D[0,1]$ for stationary sequences having order two moments.

About the method of proof

In proving the result we will use properties of coboundaries $g - g \circ T$ (g is called a transfer function). For a positive integer N and a measurable function v, we denote. $S_N(v) := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^j v$. Because $S_n(g - g \circ T) = g - g \circ T^n$, for any sequence $a_n \to \infty$ we have $\frac{1}{a_n} S_n(g - g \circ T) \to 0$ in probability hence adding a coboundary does not change validity of the central limit theorem. If, moreover, $g \in L^2$ then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n(g - g \circ T) \to 0$ a.s. hence adding of such coboundary does not change validity the invariance principle (if norming by $\sqrt{n}$), cf. [HH80]. On the other hand, if $g \notin L^2$, adding a coboundary can spoil tightness even if $g - g \circ T$ is square integrable, cf. [VS00]. A similar idea was used in [DMV07]. In the proof of theorem 1 we will find a coboundary $g - g \circ T$ which is β-mixing and spoils tightness. The coboundary has all finite moments but the transfer function is not integrable. In the proof it is used the fact that $|\mu(A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| \leqslant \mu(A)$. The method does not seem to apply to processes which are ρ-mixing and for this kind of processes the problem remains open.

2. PROOF

Let us consider an increasing sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \geqslant 1}$, and for each integer $k \geqslant 1$ let A_k^-, A_k^+ be measurable sets such that $\mu(A_k^-) = \frac{1}{2n_k^2} = \mu(A_k^+)$.

We define random variables e_k by

$$e_k(\omega) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in A_k^+ \\ -1 & \text{if } \omega \in A_k^- \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

such that $U^i e_k = e_k \circ T^i$ are mutually independent. We define $A_k^{\pm} := A_k^+ \cup A_k^-$ and

$$h_k := \sum_{i=0}^{n_k - 1} U^{-i} e_k - U^{-n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k - 1} U^{-i} e_k, \quad h := \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k h_k. \tag{7}$$

As $U^i e_k$ are mutually independent and of zero mean, and T is measure-preserving, we have

$$\|h_k\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{2n_k - 1} \mu(T^j A_k^{\pm}) = \frac{2n_k}{n_k^2} = \frac{2}{n_k}, \tag{8}$$

hence $h \in \mathbb{L}^2$ whenever $\sum_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{k}{\sqrt{n_k}}$ is finite.

It will be useful to express, for $2n_k \leqslant N \leqslant n_k^2$, the sum $S_N(h_k)$ as a linear combination of $U^p e_k$. Let $s_k := \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} U^{-j} e_k$. As $N - n_k - 1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_N(h_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (U^j s_k - U^{j-n_k} s_k) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^j s_k - \sum_{j=-n_k}^{N-n_k-1} U^j s_k \\
&= - \sum_{j=-n_k}^{-1} U^j s_k + U^N \sum_{j=-n_k}^{-1} U^j s_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=-n_k}^{-1} U^j s_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} U^{-j} s_k \\
&= U^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} U^{-(i+j)} e_k \\
&= U^{-2n_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} j U^j e_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} (n_k - j) U^{n_k+j} e_k \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The previous equation yields
(9)

$$S_N(h_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} j U^{j+N-2n_k} e_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} (n_k - j) U^{j+N-n_k} e_k - \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} j U^{j-2n_k} e_k - \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} (n_k - j) U^{j-n_k} e_k.$$

Each h_k is a coboundary, as if we define $v_k := \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} U^{-j} s_k$, then $v_k - U^{-1} v_k = s_k - U^{-n_k} s_k = h_k$ (so in this case the transfer function is $-U^{-1} v_k$).

Denoting $\operatorname{supp}(v_k) := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid v_k \neq 0\}$, we can see that $\mu(\operatorname{supp}(v_k)) \leqslant \frac{2}{n_k}$, so by Borel-Cantelli's lemma the function $g := -\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k \cdot U^{-1} v_k$ is almost everywhere well defined. As $h = g - Ug$, h is a coboundary.

For a positive integer m, we denote $[m] := \{1, \ldots, m\} = [1, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. If S is a finite subset of the set of integers, $|S|$ is the number of elements of S.

Lemma 2. *There exists* N_0 *such that*

$$\mu \left\{ \max_{N \in [n_k^2 - 1]} |S_N(h_k)| = n_k \right\} > 1/4 \tag{10}$$

whenever $n_k \geqslant N_0$.

Proof. For $2n_k \leqslant N \leqslant n_k^2$, thanks to (9), we have

$$\{|S_N(h_k)| = n_k\} \supset \left\{ \left| U^{N-n_k} e_k \right| = 1 \right\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I} \left\{ U^j e_k = 0 \right\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in J_N} \left\{ U^j e_k = 0 \right\}, \tag{11}$$

where $I = [1-2n_k, -1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $J_N = ([N-2n_k+1, N-1-n_k] \cup [N+1-n_k, N-1]) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$\begin{align*}
B_{N,k} &:= \left\{ \left| U^{N-n_k} e_k \right| = 1 \right\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1-2n_k}^{-1-n_k} \left\{ U^{N+j} e_k = 0 \right\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1-n_k}^{-1} \left\{ U^{j+N} e_k = 0 \right\} \tag{12}\\
&= \left\{ \left| U^{N-n_k} e_k \right| = 1 \right\} \cap \bigcap_{j \in J_N} \left\{ U^{j} e_k = 0 \right\}. \tag{13}
\end{align*}$$

$|S_N(h_k)| = n_k$ on $\bigcap_{j\in I} \left\{ U^j e_k = 0 \right\} \cap B_{N,k}$ and $\bigcap_{j\in I} \left\{ U^j e_k = 0 \right\}$, $B_{N,k}$ belong to independent σ-algebras. Therefore

$$\mu \left\{ \max_{N \in [n_k^2 - 1]} |S_N(h_k)| = n_k \right\} \geqslant \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_k^2} \right)^{2n_k} \mu \left(\bigcup_{N=2n_k}^{n_k^2} B_{N,k} \right). \tag{14}$$

Recall Bonferroni's formula, which states that for any integer n and any events $A_j, j \in [n]$, we have

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j \right) \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(A_j) - \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} \mu(A_i \cap A_j). \tag{15}$$

It can be proved by induction. Notice that

$$\mu(B_{N,k}) = \frac{1}{n_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_k^2} \right)^{2n_k - 2} \geqslant \frac{1}{n_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n_k} \right)$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$\mu(B_{i+2n_k-1,k} \cap B_{j+2n_k-1,k}) \leqslant \mu \left\{ \left| U^{i+n_k-1} e_k \right| = 1 \right\} \mu \left\{ \left| U^{j+n_k-1} e_k \right| = 1 \right\} = \frac{1}{n_k^4}$$

hence

$$\begin{align*}
\mu \left(\bigcup_{N=2n_k}^{n_k^2} B_{N,k} \right) &= \mu \left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{(n_k-1)^2} B_{N+2n_k-1,k} \right)\\
&\geqslant \sum_{N=1}^{(n_k-1)^2} \frac{1}{n_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n_k} \right) - \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant (n_k-1)^2} \mu(B_{i+2n_k-1,k} \cap B_{j+2n_k-1,k})\\
&\geqslant \left(1 - \frac{2}{n_k} \right)^3 - \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}$$

which gives together with (14) that

$$\mu \left\{ \max_{N \in [n_k^2 - 1]} |S_N(h_k)| = n_k \right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}, \tag{16}$$

whenever $n_k \geqslant N_0$, where N_0 is such that $\left(1 - \frac{2}{n} \right)^3 > \frac{3}{4}$ whenever $n \geqslant N_0$. □

Lemma 3. *Assume that the sequence $(n_k)_k$ satisfies the following two conditions of lacunarity :*

$$\text{for each } k, \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} j(n_j + 1)^2 \leqslant n_{k+1}; \tag{17}$$

$$\text{for each } k, \quad n_{k+1} \geqslant 4^k n_k. \tag{18}$$

Then we have

$$\liminf_{k\to+\infty}\mu\left\{\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{N\in\left[n_k^2-1\right]}\left|S_N(h)\right|\geqslant k\right\}>0. \tag{19}$$

Such a sequence can be constructed by induction: take n_1 arbitrary; if $n_1,\dots,n_k$ are constructed, take $n_{k+1}:=\max\left\{\sum_{j=1}^k j(n_j+1)^2, 2^{k+1}n_k\right\}$.

Proof. Fix an integer k. For each $j<k$ and $2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2-1$, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}S_N(jh_j)\right|\leqslant\frac{2}{n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}j(n_j+1)^2\leqslant 1. \tag{20}$$

Indeed, using (9), we can give an upper bound of $S_N(h_j)$ (as $N\geqslant 2n_k>2n_j$) as

$$|S_N(h_j)|\leqslant 2\sum_{l=1}^{n_j}l+2\sum_{l=1}^{n_j-1}l\leqslant 2(n_j+1)^2,$$

and we conclude by (17).

Now fix $j>k$. Writing $S_N(h_j)=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}U^is_j-\sum_{i=-n_j}^{N-n_j-1}U^is_j$, we can see that the support of $S_N(f_j)$ is contained in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n_k^2-1}T^{-i}A_j^{\pm}\cup\bigcup_{i=-n_j}^{n_k^2-n_j-1}T^{-i}A_j^{\pm}=\bigcup_{i=-n_j}^{n_k^2-1}T^{-i}A_j^{\pm}$, hence

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{N=2n_k}^{n_k^2-1}\{S_N(h_j)\neq 0\}\right)\leqslant\frac{2(n_k^2+n_j)}{n_j^2}\leqslant\frac{4n_k}{n_j}<2^{-j+1}. \tag{21}$$

Let $E_k:=\bigcup_{N=2n_k}^{n_k^2-1}\bigcup_{j\geqslant k+1}\{S_N(h_j)\neq 0\}$. By (21), we have $\mu(E_k)\leqslant\sum_{j\geqslant k+1}2^{1-j}=2^{1-k}$.

By (20),

$$\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}|S_N(h)|\geqslant\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}\left|S_N\left(\sum_{j\geqslant k}jh_j\right)\right|-1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\left\{\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}|S_N(h)|\geqslant k-1\right\}&\geqslant\mu\left\{\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}\left|S_N\left(\sum_{j\geqslant k}jh_j\right)\right|\geqslant k\right\}\\&\geqslant\mu\left(\left\{\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}\left|S_N\left(\sum_{j\geqslant k}jh_j\right)\right|\geqslant k\right\}\cap E_k^c\right)\\&=\mu\left(\left\{\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}\left|S_N\left(h_k\right)\right|\geqslant 1\right\}\cap E_k^c\right)\\&\geqslant\mu\left(\left\{\frac{1}{n_k}\max_{2n_k\leqslant N\leqslant n_k^2}\left|S_N\left(h_k\right)\right|\geqslant 1\right\}\right)-\mu(E_k).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from lemma 2 and (21). □

The previous lemma yields together with tightness criteria in [Bil68] for $C[0,1]$ and $D[0,1]$ spaces the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *The sequences of measures associated to* $n^{-1/2}S_n^*(h,\cdot)$ *and* $n^{-1/2}S_n^{**}(h,\cdot)$ *are not tight in their respective spaces.*

Furthermore, the sequence $\left(n^{-1/2}\max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}\left|h\circ T^j\right|\right)_{n\geqslant 1}$ *is not stochastically bounded.*

Let $(U^ie_0)_i$ be a sequence of iid independent of the processes $(U^ie_k)_i$, $k=1,2,\dots$, $E(e_0)=0$ and $\|e_0\|_2=1$,

$$f=e_0+h.$$

From corollary 4 it follows:

Proposition 5. *The central limit theorem takes place for the process* $(U^if)_i$ *but not the weak invariance principle.*

Denote for $0<p<\infty$ by $\mathbb{L}^p$ the vector space of equivalence classes of functions $u\colon\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $E[|u|^p]<\infty$. One can wonder in which $\mathbb{L}^p$ space can g and $g-g\circ T$ belong.

Proposition 6. *Under the conditions* (17) *and* (18)*, we have* $g\in\mathbb{L}^p$ *for* $0<p<1$ *and* $g-g\circ T\in\mathbb{L}^p$ *for each* $p>0$.

Proof. For $0<p<1$ and any two non-negative real numbers a and b, we have $(a+b)^p\leqslant a^p+b^p$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left|kg_k\right|^p &\leqslant k^p\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_k}j^pE\left|U^{-j}e_k\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1}(n_k-j)^pE\left|U^{-j+n_k}e_k\right|\right)\\
&=\frac{k^p}{n_k^2}\left(n_k^p+2\sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1}j^p\right)\\
&\leqslant\frac{k^p}{n_k^2}\left(n_k^p+2\sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1}\int_{j-1}^{j}t^pdt\right)\\
&=\frac{k^p}{n_k^2}\left(n_k^p+2\int_0^{n_k}t^pdt\right)\\
&=\frac{k^p}{n_k^2}\left(n_k^p+2\frac{n_k^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)\\
&=\frac{k^p}{n_k^{2-p}}+\frac{2}{p+1}\frac{k^p}{n_k^{1-p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (18), we have $n_k\geqslant 2^{\frac{k^2}{2}}n_1$ hence the series $\sum_{k\geqslant 1}E\left|kg_k\right|^p$ is convergent, proving that $g\in\mathbb{L}^p$.

Corollary 2.4. in [BT10] states the following: given positive integers t and p, $X_1,\dots,X_t$ independent random variables such that $\mu(0\leqslant X_j\leqslant 1)=1$ for each $j\in[t]$, then

$$E\left(X\right)^p\leqslant B_p\cdot\max\left\{E(X),(E(X))^p\right\}, \tag{22}$$

where B_p is the p-th Bell's number and $X:=\sum_{j=1}^tX_j$.

We shall show that the series $\sum_{k\geqslant 1}k\left\|h_k\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}$ is convergent for any integer p. Fix $k\geqslant 1$, and let $t:=2n_k$, $X_j:=\left|U^{j-2n_k}e_k\right|$. Applying (22), we get

$$\left\|h_k\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^p\leqslant B_p\cdot\max\left\{n_k^{-1},n_k^{-p}\right\}=B_p\cdot n_k^{-1}, \tag{23}$$

hence $k\left\|h_k\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} \leqslant B_p^{1/p} \cdot k \cdot 2^{-\frac{k^2}{2p}}$. $\square$

Proposition 7. *The transfer function g does not belong to $\mathbb{L}^1$.*

Proof. Fix an integer k, and define for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n_k$:

$$S_j := \left\{\left|U^{-j-2n_k} e_k\right| = 1\right\} \cap \bigcap_{i \in [2n_k-1]\setminus\{j\}} \left\{U^{i-2n_k} e_k = 0\right\} \cap \bigcap_{l \neq k} \bigcap_{i \in [2n_k-1]} \left\{U^{i-2n_j} e_j = 0\right\}.$$

These sets are pairwise disjoint and $g\chi_{S_j} = kj\chi_{S_j}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|g\chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_k} S_j}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} &\geqslant k \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \chi_{S_j} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} iU^{-i-2n_k} e_k\right|\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} \\
&= k \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \left\|\chi_{S_j} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} iU^{-i-2n_k} e_k\right|\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^1} \\
&= k \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} j\mu(S_j) \\
&= k \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} j \cdot \frac{1}{n_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_k^2}\right)^{2n_k-2} \prod_{j \neq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_j^2}\right)^{2n_j-1} \\
&\geqslant \frac{k}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_k^2}\right)^{-1} \prod_{j \geqslant 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_j^2}\right)^{2n_j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_k^2}\right)^{-1} \to 1$ as $k \to +\infty$ and $\prod_{j \geqslant 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_j^2}\right)^{2n_j-1}$ is positive, we conclude that g cannot be integrable. $\square$

Now, we show that the process $(U^i f)_i$ is β-mixing. In doing so we use the following proposition (cf. [Bra07]).

Proposition 8. *Let $(X_{k,i})_i$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ be mutually independent strictly stationary processes with respective mixing coefficients $\beta_k(n)$, let $X_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_{k,i}$ converges. The process $(X_i)_i$ is strictly stationary with mixing coefficients $\beta(n) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(n)$.*

In the following text we denote by $\beta_k(n)$ the mixing coefficients of the process $(h_k \circ T^i)_i$.

Let us fix a $k \geqslant 1$.

Lemma 9. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists n_k such that $\beta_k(0) < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{F}_n^{\infty}$ by $\mathcal{F}^n$ and $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^n$ by $\mathcal{F}_n$. The σ-algebras $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}^{2n_k}$ are independent. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$ be the partition generated by $T^{-i}A_k^+$, $T^{-i}A_k^-$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n_k - 1$ ($\mathcal{P}$ has at most 3^{2n_k} elements). Any element $B \in \mathcal{F}^0$ can thus be represented as a union

$$B = \bigcup_{i \in I} (P_i \cap B_i)$$

with $B_i \in \mathcal{F}^{2n_k}$, $i \in I$.

Because $\mu(A_k^+), \mu(A_k^-) \leqslant \frac{1}{2n_k^2}$, among the sets P_i there is one, denoted $P_0 = \Omega \setminus \cup_{i=0}^{2n_k-1}(T^{-i}A_k^+ \cup T^{-i}A_k^-)$, the measure of which is greater than $1 - \frac{1}{n_k}$. We thus have

$$B = (P_0 \cap B_0) \cup \bar{B} = [B_0 \setminus (B_0 \cap P_0^c)] \cup \bar{B},$$
$$A \cap B = [(A \cap B_0) \setminus A \cap B_0 \cap P_0^c)] \cup (A \cap \bar{B})$$

where P_0^c is the complement of P_0, $B_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{2n_k}$, $\bar{B} = \bigcup_{i\in I,\, i\neq 0}(P_i \cap B_i) = B \cap P_0^c$; we have $\mu(P_0) > 1 - \frac{1}{n_k}$, $\mu(\bar{B}) \leqslant \mu(P_0^c) < \frac{1}{n_k}$. We thus have

$$|\mu(B) - \mu(B_0)| \leqslant \frac{1}{n_k}$$

and

$$|\mu(A \cap B) - \mu(A \cap B_0)| \leqslant \frac{1}{n_k}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| &\leqslant |\mu(A \cap B) - \mu(A \cap B_0)| \\ &\quad + |\mu(A \cap B_0) - \mu(A)\mu(B_0)| + |\mu(A)\mu(B_0) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| \leqslant \frac{2}{n_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{B^1, \ldots, B^n\}$ be a $\mathcal{F}^0$-measurable partition of Ω. For $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n$ we have

$$B^i = \bigcup_{l\in I} P_l \cap B_l^i, \quad B^j = \bigcup_{l\in I} P_l \cap B_l^j.$$

From $B^i \cap B^j = \emptyset$ it follows $P_l \cap B_l^i \cap B_l^j = \emptyset$; $B_l^i, B_l^j \in \mathcal{F}^{2n_k}$ hence $\mu(P_l \cap B_l^i \cap B_l^j) = \mu(P_l)\mu(B_l^i \cap B_l^j)$ hence $\mu(B_l^i \cap B_l^j) = 0$.

Let, as before, $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $\{B^1, \ldots, B^n\}$ be a $\mathcal{F}^0$-measurable partition of Ω. We can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A \cap B^i) - \mu(A \cap B_0^i)| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu([(A \cap B_0^i) \setminus (A \cap B_0^i \cap P_0^c)] \cup (P_0^c \cap A \cap B^i)) - \mu(A \cap B_0^i)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu((A \cap B_0^i) - \mu(A \cap B_0^i \cap P_0^c) + \mu(P_0^c \cap A \cap B^i) - \mu(A \cap B_0^i)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n | - \mu(A \cap B_0^i \cap P_0^c) + \mu(P_0^c \cap A \cap B^i)| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A \cap B_0^i \cap P_0^c) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(P_0^c \cap A \cap B^i) \leqslant 2\mu(A \cap P_0^c) \end{aligned}$$

From the same calculation it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(B^i) - \mu(B_0^i)| \leqslant 2\mu(P_0^c).$$

For an $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable partition $\{A^1, \dots, A^m\}$ of Ω we thus get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j \cap B^i) - \mu(A^j)\mu(B^i)| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j \cap B^i) - \mu(A^j \cap B_0^i)|$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j \cap B_0^i) - \mu(A^j)\mu(B_0^i)| + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j)\mu(B_0^i) - \mu(A^j)\mu(B^i)|.$$

By the preceding calculation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j \cap B^i) - \mu(A^j \cap B_0^i)| \leqslant 2\mu(P_0^c)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j)\mu(B_0^i) - \mu(A^j)\mu(B^i)| \leqslant 2\mu(P_0^c),$$

by independence of A^j, B_0^i, the second sum is zero, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(A^j \cap B^i) - \mu(A^j)\mu(B^i)| \leqslant 4\mu(P_0^c).$$

□

Now, we can finish the proof of the theorem. We have already shown that for the process $(f \circ T^i)_i$, the invariance principle does not hold. It remains to prove (4).

Proof. We can, without loss of generality, suppose that the sequence (c_n) is decreasing. For $h_0 = e_0$ the process $(h_0 \circ T^i)$ is a sequence of iid hence $\beta_0(n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Using lemma 9 we find n_1 such that

$$\beta_1(0) \leqslant \frac{c_0}{2}.$$

Then, in the same way, we find n_2 such that

$$\beta_2(n_1) \leqslant \frac{c_{n_1}}{4}$$

and by induction we get a sequence of n_k such that

$$\beta_{k+1}(n_k) \leqslant \frac{c_{n_k}}{2^{k+1}}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

All the time we choose the n_k big enough so that the conditions (17) and (18) of lemma 2 be satisfied.

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we have $\beta_k(n) = 0$ as soon as $n > n_{k-1}$ hence

$$\beta(n) \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k(n) = \sum_{k:n \leqslant n_k} \beta_{k+1}(n_k) = \sum_{k:n \leqslant n_k} \frac{c_{n_k}}{2^{k+1}} \leqslant \frac{c_{n_j}}{2^j}$$

where $j = \min\{k : n_k \geqslant n\}$. In particular we get $\beta(n_k) \leqslant c_{n_k}$.

□

References

[Bil68] P. Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. Wiley & Sons, 1968.

[Bra07] R. Bradley. *Introduction to strong mixing conditions*, volume 1. Kendrick Press, 2007.

[BT10] D. Berend and T. Tassa. Improved bounds on Bell numbers and on moments of sums of random variables. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 30:185–205, 2010.

[DMV07] J. Dedecker, F. Merlevède, and D. Volný. On the weak invariance principle for non-adapted stationary sequences under projective criteria. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 20:971–1004, 2007.

[HH80] P. Hall and C.C. Heyde. *Martingale limit theory and its application*. Probability and mathematical statistics. Academic Press, 1980.

[Ibr59] I.A. Ibragimov. Some limit theorems for stochastic process stationary in the strict sense. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 125:711–714, 1959.

[Ibr62] I.A. Ibragimov. Some Limit Theorems for Stationary Processes. *Theory Prob. Appl.*, 7:349–382, 1962.

[Ibr75] I.A. Ibragimov. A note on the central limit theorem for dependent random variables. *Theory Prob. Appl.*, 20:135–141, 1975.

[KR60] A.N. Kolmogorov and Yu.A. Rozanov. On strong mixing conditions for Stationary Gaussian processes. *Theor. Probab. Appl.*, 5:204–208, 1960.

[Rio00] E. Rio. *Théorie asymptotique des processus aléatoires faiblement dépendants*. SMAI, 2000.

[Ros56] M. Rosenblatt. A central limit theorem and a strong mixing condition. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 42:43–47, 1956.

[Sha89] Q. Shao. On the invariance principle for ρ-mixing sequences of random variables. *Chinese Ann. Math. (Ser. B)*, 10:427–433, 1989.

[VR59] V.A. Volkonskii and Yu.A. Rozanov. Some limit theorems for random functions I. *Theor. Probab. Appl.*, 4:178–197, 1959.

[VS00] D. Volný and P. Samek. On the invariance principle and the law of iterated logarithm for stationary processes. *Mathematical Physics and Stochastic Analysis*, pages 424–438, 2000.

Université de Rouen, LMRS, Avenue de l'Université, BP 12 76801 Saint-Étienne-du-Rouvray cedex, France.

E-mail address: `davide.giraudo1@univ-rouen.fr` and `dalibor.volny@univ-rouen.fr`